

Exhibit 8

In The Matter Of:

ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, et al. v.

BRAD SONNENBERG

November 17, 2005

LEGALINK MANHATTAN

420 Lexington Avenue - Suite 2108

New York, NY 10170

PH: 212-557-7400 / FAX: 212-692-9171

SONNENBERG, BRAD

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 138</p> <p>1 BY MR. MILNE: 2 Q. Yes. 3 A. Let me start again. Forget about 4 what I just said. I don't recall the point at 5 which I first became aware of the fraudulent 6 conveyance issue. 7 Q. Meaning intercompany fraudulent 8 conveyances? 9 A. Correct, yes. 10 Q. Do you recall whether there was -- 11 strike that. Can you put a general time frame 12 on it? Was it something you learned shortly 13 after joining the company? 14 A. I can't put a time frame on it. 15 Q. Is there such an analysis going on 16 today? 17 MS. CHU: Object as to form. 18 MR. McLAUGHLIN: You can answer 19 that question yes or no. 20 THE WITNESS: The issue of 21 fraudulent conveyances is one of the issues that 22 is under review by the group I referred to 23 previously. 24 BY MR. MILNE: 25 Q. So that is part of that same group</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 140</p> <p>1 any fraudulent conveyance analysis? 2 BY MR. MILNE: 3 Q. Well, either aside from or in 4 conjunction with any fraudulent conveyance 5 analysis. 6 A. Solvency, as I understand the 7 doctrine, is at least potentially part of a 8 fraudulent conveyance analysis. Beyond that, 9 we, meaning the company and its outside 10 advisors, have analyzed distributions to various 11 silos under various assumptions and that 12 necessarily involves a question of whether a 13 silo ends up being solvent or not solvent. 14 Q. Have you undertaken any analyses 15 since the time and by you I mean to your 16 knowledge has the company or its advisors 17 undertaken any analyses of whether subsidiaries 18 of Adelpia were insolvent prior to the petition 19 date? 20 MS. CHU: Objection to the form. 21 THE WITNESS: I don't recall 22 specifically. 23 BY MR. MILNE: 24 Q. Do you recall generally? 25 A. I don't recall whether any of the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 139</p> <p>1 that is also evaluating the options as far as 2 allocating the government settlement? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Okay. When did that group commence 5 its work with respect to fraudulent conveyances 6 with respect to intercompany transfers? 7 A. I don't recall. 8 Q. Do you know whether any work was 9 being done on that issue in the year 2004? 10 A. I don't recall. 11 Q. You just don't know one way or the 12 other? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Has the company undertaken any 15 solvency analyses of subsidiaries of Adelpia 16 since the time you started working for the 17 company? 18 A. What do you mean by "solvency 19 analysis." 20 Q. Solvency in the sense that that 21 term is used in fraudulent conveyance analysis. 22 MS. CHU: Objection to form. 23 MR. CASTELLO: Objection to form. 24 MR. McLAUGHLIN: Objection to form. 25 THE WITNESS: You mean aside from</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 141</p> <p>1 analyses were intended to be prior to the 2 petition date as opposed to as of some 3 hypothetical redistribution date under our 4 reorganization. 5 Q. Have you seen any work product or 6 report analyzing the solvency of any Adelpia 7 subsidiaries prior to the petition, whether they 8 were solvent prior to the petition date? 9 A. I don't recall seeing a financial 10 analysis to that effect. 11 Q. Have you seen any kind of analysis 12 to that effect? 13 A. I don't recall whether or not 14 anything has been communicated to me about the 15 solvency of the entities at that specific time. 16 Q. Do you know whether the Arahova 17 Communications board of directors has considered 18 whether fraudulent conveyance claims should be 19 asserted in respect of intercompany transfers? 20 MR. McLAUGHLIN: You are asking him 21 whether he knows that has been considered? 22 MR. MILNE: Yes. 23 MS. CHU: Objection to form. 24 THE WITNESS: Can I talk to you a 25 minute.</p>

Page 142

1 MR. McLAUGHLIN: Yes.
 2 (Witness and counsel confer.)
 3 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
 4 question.
 5 (Requested portion of record read.)
 6 THE WITNESS: There have been no
 7 formal meetings of the Arahova board at which
 8 that question has been considered.
 9 BY MR. MILNE:
 10 Q. Have there been informal meetings?
 11 A. There have been meetings at which
 12 the fraudulent conveyance issues have been
 13 considered at which one or more members of the
 14 Arahova board have been present, but those have
 15 not been formal meetings of the Arahova board as
 16 a board.
 17 Q. Can you tell me what occurred at
 18 those meetings?
 19 MR. McLAUGHLIN: Objection and
 20 instruction not to answer.
 21 THE WITNESS: That's privileged.
 22 BY MR. MILNE:
 23 Q. That's privileged. As part of your
 24 role as general counsel of Adelpia, and I take
 25 it -- let me just strike that question.

Page 143

1 In terms of the position you hold at all
 2 the various Adelpia subsidiaries, are you the
 3 general counsel and secretary of all those
 4 entities?
 5 A. Well, I am the executive vice
 6 president, general counsel and secretary of
 7 those Adelpia entities of which I am an
 8 officer.
 9 Q. And in the context of those
 10 positions that you hold, have you provided legal
 11 advice to the officers of those entities
 12 concerning their fiduciary duties as debtors in
 13 possession?
 14 MR. McLAUGHLIN: I'm not sure we
 15 can allow him to answer that question because in
 16 so doing it would disclose what advice was
 17 given. You could ask him whether he provided
 18 legal advice, but you know, did you provide
 19 legal advice on this as opposed to that? What
 20 he gave his legal advice on is privileged. I
 21 have to instruct him not to answer the question.
 22 BY MR. MILNE:
 23 Q. You can't tell me whether you have
 24 given any advice to the officers of the
 25 individual subsidiaries for which you are an

Page 144

1 officer concerning fiduciary duties that they
 2 may have as debtors in possession?
 3 MR. McLAUGHLIN: I was going to
 4 say, subject to what we may confer.
 5 THE WITNESS: Let me consult.
 6 (Witness and counsel confer.)
 7 MR. McLAUGHLIN: I'll allow him to
 8 answer this question with the following caveats:
 9 No. 1, I would ask that you would agree that you
 10 will not contend that his answering of this
 11 question constitutes a waiver of the
 12 attorney-client privilege. If we can't reach an
 13 agreement on that, I will have to give him an
 14 instruction. No. 2, I do want to make it clear
 15 we do not intend to divulge the substance of the
 16 advice that was given. You can inquire as to
 17 whether advice was given, but we are not going
 18 to allow the next question which is okay, what
 19 advice did you give? You are free to put that
 20 on the record, but I will instruct him not to
 21 answer such a question. Do you disagree with
 22 anything that I have said?
 23 MR. MILNE: I disagree there is a
 24 privilege, but I won't argue that you allowing
 25 him to answer that question which I'm not sure

Page 145

1 divulges a privilege anyway is a separate waiver
 2 of any privilege.
 3 MR. McLAUGHLIN: Fair enough.
 4 That's right. For the record, we
 5 are not conceding that any follow-up questions
 6 would be appropriate. We are preserving our
 7 right to object to further follow-up questions.
 8 MR. MILNE: I understand.
 9 THE WITNESS: I have given advice
 10 to Bill, Ron and Vanessa as to their fiduciary
 11 duties as officers of the sub entities.
 12 BY MR. MILNE:
 13 Q. And can you tell me what the
 14 substance of that advice was?
 15 MR. McLAUGHLIN: Objection.
 16 Instruct the witness not to answer that
 17 question.
 18 BY MR. MILNE:
 19 Q. And you are going to follow your
 20 counsel's advice?
 21 A. Yes, as I'm legally obligated to do
 22 in this instance.
 23 MR. McLAUGHLIN: Yes.
 24 BY MR. MILNE:
 25 Q. Are you familiar with an entity