

EXHIBIT I

In The Matter Of:

In re: ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

MCMULLEN TERI L.

October 28, 2005

LEGALINK MANHATTAN
420 Lexington Avenue - Suite 2108
New York, NY 10170
PH: 212-557-7400 / FAX: 212-692-9171

TERI L. , MCMULLEN - Vol. 2



LEGALINK

A WORDWAVE COMPANY

Page 267

1 TERI L. MCMULLEN
2 might have? You say I don't think so.
3 A. I don't remember seeing
4 anything like that.
5 Q. In the post-bankruptcy petition
6 period have you had any involvement or
7 responsibility for evaluating potential
8 fraudulent conveyances?
9 MR. HOBART: Object to form.
10 A. Can you repeat that.
11 Q. In the post-bankruptcy petition
12 period have you had any involvement or
13 responsibility for evaluating potential
14 fraudulent conveyances?
15 MR. HOBART: Object to form.
16 (Witness and counsel confer.)
17 MR. O'CONNOR: The witness
18 has had some involvement in that area,
19 but as it's currently ongoing and
20 hadn't been begun until after the May
21 '05 schedules and is done in response
22 to certain constituent questions, so
23 consistent with the instructions that
24 I've given in the prior depositions, I
25 have not been allowing the witness to

Page 268

1 TERI L. MCMULLEN
2 testify about ongoing matters. You can
3 ask her about anything she would have
4 done in that area, if she did anything,
5 prior to the May schedules or the
6 restatement, but as I said in the other
7 depositions, I'm reluctant to allow the
8 information about what the company's
9 currently doing to come out sort of
10 piecemeal in the course of depositions.
11 MR. MILNE: All right. I
12 understand that's the position you've
13 taken and we reserve all our rights to
14 oppose that position.
15 MR. O'CONNOR: Sure.
16 Q. Let me just ask in terms of
17 your present activities, if you can answer
18 this, without giving the substance of your
19 analysis, have you been analyzing whether
20 the September 2001 transfers might
21 constitute fraudulent conveyances?
22 MR. O'CONNOR: Well I'm
23 going to direct her not to answer that.
24 I understand you're making a
25 distinction between the substance, but

Page 269

1 TERI L. MCMULLEN
2 I think it's equally important that
3 what the company is doing even
4 generally and what transactions it's
5 looking at is a subject that ought not
6 to be disclosed as, again, piecemeal,
7 in the course of the discovery. So I
8 will instruct her not to answer that.
9 Q. I take it you're following his
10 instruction not to answer?
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. Prior to -- in terms of
13 noncurrent work, work done prior to the
14 May schedules, had you done any work in
15 evaluating potential fraudulent
16 conveyances?
17 A. No.
18 Q. Do you know what a fraudulent
19 conveyance is?
20 MR. O'CONNOR: Object to the
21 form, but you can answer.
22 A. No, I've not seen a definition
23 of that.
24 Q. In the pre-petition period did
25 Adelpia maintain financial statements on

Page 270

1 TERI L. MCMULLEN
2 a legal entity basis?
3 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection to
4 form.
5 A. Our tax department might have,
6 but not accounting and reporting.
7 Q. What kind of documents would
8 the tax department have maintained or
9 prepared?
10 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection to
11 form.
12 A. I don't know.
13 Q. Who would know?
14 A. Mark Chambers.
15 Q. Anybody else?
16 A. I don't think so.
17 Q. Was Mark Chambers at the
18 company pre-petition?
19 A. Yes.
20 Q. When did he start, roughly?
21 A. Mid-nineties.
22 Q. On the accounting side, how
23 did -- in the pre-petition period, how did
24 Adelpia collect the financial information
25 with respect to the individual legal